

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**119**

**CR No.1658 of 2021  
Decided on : 20.08.2021**

Satnam Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Sukhjiwan Singh and others

... Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA**

Present: Mr. N.K. Verma, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

(The proceedings are being conducted through video  
conferencing, as per instructions.)

**G.S. Sandhawalia, J. (Oral)**

The petitioner in the present revision petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India read with Section 151 CPC seeks directions to decide the application filed under Order 39 Rule 1 & 2 CPC (Annexure P-2) for granting ad-interim injunction in the suit filed by the plaintiff.

Counsel has submitted that the case was initially registered on 09.10.2019. Though three of the defendants had been served on 21.10.2019, but written statements had not been filed. Resultantly, order dated 17.03.2021 (Annexure P-23) was passed to the extent that the status-quo was ordered to be maintained with regard to the alienation of the property till further orders. It is submitted that the written statement has now been filed by all the defendants on 05.04.2021 (Annexure P-25), but application under Order 39 Rule 1 & 2 CPC is still pending consideration. It is contended that now the matter is fixed on 02.09.2021.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.1658 of 2021**

**-2-**

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, the Civil Judge (Junior Division), Fatehgarh Sahib, with whom the case is pending, shall take appropriate steps to decide the application under Order 39 Rule 1 & 2 CPC, as already sufficient time has expired. The period of almost two years has passed when the suit was initially filed and the relief as such is inter se family members and the injunction has been prayed for on various grounds.

Needless to say that this Court has not commented upon the merits of the case, but only on the aspect that applications for injunction should be decided expeditiously and not dragged in the manner the zimni orders of the present civil suit depicts.

Accordingly, the present revision petition stands disposed of with the abovesaid observations.

**August 20, 2021**  
*Naveen*

**(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No