

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 33613 of 2021
Date of decision: 23.08.2021**

Kanta Goyal

..... Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Ankit Bishnoi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, DAG, Haryana, for respondent No. 1.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioner has challenged the order dated 29.11.2020 (Annexure P2) passed by Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Hisar, whereby respondent No. 2 has been granted regular bail.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations against respondent No. 2 are of forging a Will and, therefore, he was not entitled to the concession of regular bail.

Heard.

The petitioner has lodged the FIR wherein it is alleged that respondent No. 2 along with other accused had forged the Will of her mother. Respondent No. 2 is the son of the petitioner (complainant). The petitioner had also arraigned her father as an accused, who had later expired. The Court has granted bail to respondent No. 2 by holding that the allegations are with regard to forgery of the Will and are based on documentary evidence. In these

circumstances, I do not find any manifest error in the order granting regular bail to respondent No. 2.

Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

23.08.2021
Ramesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No