

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

204

**ARB Nos.440 to 442 and
444 to 448 of 2021
Decided on : 10.09.2021**

Goyal Engineering Polymers Pvt. Ltd.

... Applicant

Versus

SS Group Private Limited and another

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr. Praveen Mahajan, Advocate for the applicant.

Mr. Shashwat Singh, Advocate for the respondents.

(The proceedings are being conducted through video conferencing, as per instructions.)

G.S. Sandhawalia, J. (Oral)

The prayer in the present petitions filed under Section 29A (4) of the Arbitration & Conciliation Act, 1996 is for extension of time for completion of the arbitral proceedings *inter se* the parties.

It has been pleaded that matter is pending before the sole Arbitrator Justice Gyan Sudha Misra (retd.) and is at final stage, who had entered into reference on 18.01.2018. The parties *inter se* had consented for extension for a period of six months and, therefore, Arbitrator had accepted their request for extension of six months, which expired on 18.07.2019. The applicant had earlier filed petition before the Saket District Court, New Delhi, which was withdrawn with liberty due to lack of territorial jurisdiction to file before the Court of competent jurisdiction on 18.12.2020/12.02.2021 (Annexures P-5 & P-6). Resultantly, the present petitions were filed before this Court.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

ARB Nos.440 to 442 and 444 to 448 of 2021

-2-

It is submitted that dispute is pertaining to 9 plots and the relief claimed is also similar and identical in nature. In ARB No.397 of 2021 *inter se* the same parties, the Coordinate Bench has already granted three months time for Learned Arbitrator to finally adjudicate upon the dispute *inter se* the parties. The said order dated 09.08.2021 reads as under:-

“The matter has been taken up through video conferencing.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties and with their consent, three months more time is granted to the Arbitrator to finally adjudicate upon the disputes between the parties.

Disposed of.”

Keeping in view the above background, since the matter is squarely covered, the present petitions are also disposed of in the same terms by granting the same amount of time to the Arbitrator to finally adjudicate upon the other 8 cases also.

September 10, 2021
Naveen

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No