

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-15859 of 2021 (O&M)

Date of decision: 18.08.2021

Lalit Kumar and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Mr. Sandeep Lather, Advocate for the petitioners.

H.S. MADAAN, J. (Oral)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

Petitioner Lalit Kumar and 04 others, all of them working as Linemen with Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam, by way of filing the present writ petition seeks issuance of directions to the respondents to promote them to the post of Junior Engineer (E) (Engineering Subordinates) against 25% quota of promotion policy on acquiring the higher qualification and having requisite experience in view of judgment passed in CA-7076 of 2010 titled as **Parveen Gera Vs. Haryana State Electricity Board and others** by the Apex Court.

According to learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners had submitted representations dated 27.03.2021 and 12.07.2021, copies Annexures P-12 and P-13 to the respondents but without evoking any response.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Shubhra Singh, Addl. A.G., Haryana accepts notice on

behalf of respondent-State.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that petitioners would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.4 to look into the representations submitted by the petitioners and then take appropriate action.

Learned State counsel states that such respondents would comply with any such direction issued by this Court.

Heard.

Accordingly, the present writ petition is disposed of directing respondent No.4-Managing Director, Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam, Vidyut Sadan, Vidyut Nagar, Hisar to look into the representation submitted by the petitioners, copy Annexure P-13, as per law, rules, regulations and instructions on the subject and if some action in the matter is warranted, then the needful be done within a period of 02 months from the date of receipt of copy of this order in his office.

It is clarified that in case the petitioners still feel dissatisfied after disposal of their representation, then they may approach the Court again in accordance with law for redressal of their grievances.

18.08.2021

sumit.k

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes

No

Whether Reportable :

Yes

No