

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.221-3

CRM-M-28223-2020

Date of decision: 16.03.2021

Dinesh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Raghav Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Kumar Sehrawat, DAG, Haryana.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing.

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at this stage he would not press the present petition and would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the Trial Court to expedite the petitioner's trial.

Finding the above prayer to be reasonable, the present petition is dismissed as not pressed with a further direction to the Trial Court to finally adjudicate upon the petitioner's trial within six months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

It is clarified that subject to the petitioner's cooperating in the trial in case his trial is not completed within six months, he would be at liberty to revive his plea for regular bail.

March 16, 2021

Jyoti 1

(DEEPAK SIBAL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

Yes/No

Yes/No