

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-16121 of 2021 (O&M)

Date of decision: 20.08.2021

Subhash Chand

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Mr. Sushil Kamboj, Advocate for the petitioner.

H.S. MADAAN, J. (Oral)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

Petitioner Subhash Chand, working as Lineman with Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam, presently posted at Operation Sub Division, Jagadhri, Yamuna Nagar, by way of filing the present writ petition craves for issuance of directions to the respondents to grant him promotion to the post of Assistant Fireman along with all consequential benefits and pay equal to the employees, who are junior to him by inserting his name before private respondent No.7-Safiq in the seniority list. The petitioners claims to have more educational qualification than respondent No.7-Safiq and senior to him in service.

According to learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner had got served a legal notice dated 18.06.2020, copy Annexure P-4 upon respondent No.3, however, that notice did not evoke any response.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 5.

Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on

behalf of such respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that petitioner would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.3 to consider his grievances as contained in the legal notice Annexure P-4 and then to take appropriate action in the matter.

Learned State counsel states that such respondents would comply with any such direction issued by this Court.

Heard.

Accordingly, the present writ petition is disposed of directing respondent No.3-Superintending Engineer, OP Circle, UHBVN, Yamuna Nagar to look into the legal notice of the petitioner, copy Annexure P-4, and if he finds that some action in the matter is warranted, then the needful be done in accordance with law, rules, regulations and instructions on the subject, within a period of 02 months from the date of receipt of copy of this order in his office. He is required to pass a detailed speaking order with regard to the legal notice.

It is clarified that in case the petitioner still feels dissatisfied after disposal of his legal notice, then he may approach the Court again in accordance with law for redressal of his grievances.

20.08.2021

sumit.k

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

Yes	No
Yes	No