

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-15709 of 2021 (O&M)

Date of decision: 17.08.2021

Deepak Yadav

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Mr. Chanderhas Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

H.S. MADAAN, J. (Oral)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

Petitioner Deepak Yadav has brought the instant civil writ petition craving for issuance of a direction to the State of Haryana and Haryana Staff Selection Commission, Panchkula, arrayed as respondents in the writ petition for quashing of impugned result dated 22.04.2021 (Annexure P-14) for BCB category for the post of Junior System Engineer, contending that name of the petitioner does not appear in the list of successful candidates, despite the fact that he was fully eligible and had secured more marks than the last selected candidate under BCB category.

According to learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner had submitted a detailed representation in that regard dated 26.04.2021, copy Annexure P-17 to respondent No.2 but that has not evoked any response.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that petitioner would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to consider the representation (Annexure P-17) of the petitioner and then act accordingly.

Learned State counsel states that such respondents would comply with any such direction issued by this Court.

Heard.

Accordingly, the present writ petition is disposed of directing respondent No.2-Secretary, Haryana Staff Selection Commission, Panchkula to consider and dispose of the representation (Annexure P-17) of the petitioner, as per law, rules, regulations by passing a speaking order giving reasons in that regard and if some action in the matter is warranted, then the needful be done within a period of 02 months from the date of receipt of copy of this order in his office.

It is clarified that in case the petitioner feels dissatisfied after disposal of his representation, then he may approach the Court again in accordance with law for redressal of his grievances.

17.08.2021

sumit.k

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes

No

Whether Reportable :

Yes

No