

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

216

CRM-M-33254-2021

Date of Decision: 27.08.2021

Ajipal @ Pintu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Kamal Chaudhary, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Vishal Kashyap, DAG, Haryana,
assisted by ASI Rakesh.

Mr. Gaurav Singla, Advocate, for the complainant.

(proceedings conducted through video conferencing)

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has approached this Court seeking grant of anticipatory bail in respect of a case registered against him vide FIR No.166 dated 19.07.2021 at Police Station Tigaon, District Faridabad, under Sections 323/325/506/34 IPC.
2. At the time of issuance of notice of motion, the following order was passed:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that it is a case of a petty dispute between neighbours, which has been amicably resolved and the parties have effectively compromised the matter. The learned counsel, in this regard, refers to the affidavits furnished by the complainant as well as by the injured, which are annexed as Annexures P-2 to P-4.

Notice of motion for 27.8.2021.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest, the petitioner be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and cooperate with the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

The petitioner to ensure the presence of the complainant through counsel on the next date of hearing.”

3. Today, Mr. Gaurav Singla, Advocate, has put in appearance on behalf of the complainant and has endorsed the factum of compromise and has submitted that he has no objection for grant of bail to the petitioner.
4. Keeping in view the aforesaid position, wherein the dispute amongst the neighbourers is stated to have been amicably resolved and the complainant does not have any objection for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, the petition is accepted and the interim directions issued by this Court vide order dated 17.08.2021 are hereby made absolute subject to the condition that the petitioner shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and cooperate with the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

27.08.2021

Vimal

**(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: **Yes/No**
Whether reportable: **Yes/No**