

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-7738-2021.
Date of Decision:-17.08.2021.

Sarup Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Balbir Singh Jaswal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurav Khurana, DAG, Punjab.

(Through Video Conferencing)

VIKAS BAHL, J. (Oral)

This is a Criminal Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a writ of mandamus directing respondent Nos.2 to 4 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and his family members. With respect to the same, the petitioner has given several representations, one of which is dated 02.08.2021 (Annexure P-4).

In the representation dated 02.08.2021 (Annexure P-4), the petitioner has requested respondent No.2 to protect the life and liberty. It has been stated that the son of the petitioner had married the daughter of Harjinder Singh-respondent No.5 with their own choice and they were

granted protection by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Amritsar. It has been stated that the petitioner has apprehension of threat from respondent Nos.5 to 11 and has, thus, sought protection to life and liberty.

Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1 to 4 only.

On advance notice, Mr. Saurav Khurana, DAG, Punjab, appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1 to 4 and on a specific query put by this Court, he has stated that he has no objection in case, respondent No.2-The Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar (Rural), looks into the representation dated 02.08.2021 (Annexure P-4) and takes appropriate action in accordance with law.

After considering the abovesaid facts and without expressing any opinion on merits of the case, the present Criminal Writ Petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the representation (Annexure P-4) and after considering the threat perception to the petitioner, respondent No.2 will take appropriate action in accordance with law.

It is, however, clarified that this order shall not debar the State from proceeding against the petitioner, if involved in any case.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

August 17, 2021.

sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.