

**114 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-7721-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision-16.08.2021**

Afsara Khatoon @ Archana and another

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Baljeet Beniwal, Advocate for the petitioners.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

Petitioners have filed this writ petition under Article 226 Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty from respondent Nos.4 to 6, who are against the marriage of the petitioners.

Briefly, the facts pleaded in the writ petition are that the petitioners are major, who fell in love with each other and decided to marry. When the love affair of the petitioners came to the knowledge of the parents of petitioner No.1 (respondents No.4 and 5) they opposed it, as they wanted to perform the marriage of Afsara Khatoon @ Archana with a boy of their own choice. As a result of that, the petitioners performed marriage on 05.08.2021 at Arya Samaj Mandir, Kushlipur (Palwal) against the wishes of private respondents. After their marriage, the petitioners are receiving serious threats to their life and liberty from the parents of petitioner No.1 and in this regard, petitioners submitted a representation dated 05.08.2021 (Annexure P-

5) to the Superintendent of Police, Palwal. It is prayed that the petitioners be provided protection.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has argued that the petitioners who were known to each other, fell in love and decided to marry, however, their alliance was opposed by the private respondents and there were compelling circumstances for them to perform the marriage against their wishes. He has further submits that petitioner No.1 has even changed her religion and the private respondents are extending threats to them. In this regard, he has invited the attention of the Court to the representation dated 05.08.2021 (Annexure P-5) to the Superintendent of Police, Palwal, but till date no action has been taken on the same. Therefore, necessary directions be issued.

During the course of hearing, it is not disputed that the parents of the girl have already given a complaint against petitioner No.2-Krishan Kumar before the police at Delhi, however, the particulars of the same are not available with him.

Considering the above, it appears that the private respondents are following the remedies available to them in accordance with law and the same cannot be construed as threat.

The present petition is dismissed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

16.08.2021
geeta

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No