

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

122

CWP-15845-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 18.08.2021

Dr. Anita Kadian

... Petitioner

VS.

State of Haryana & Ors.

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE GIRISH AGNIHOTRI

Present: Mr. J.S. Ahlawat, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rohit Arya, DAG, Haryana.

GIRISH AGNIHOTRI, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing on account of restrictions due to outbreak of COVID-19 pandemic.

Petitioner-Dr. Anita Kadian stated to be aged 53 years has filed the present writ petition *inter alia* with the prayer for directing the respondents to decide her representation dated 26.03.2021 (Annexure P-7) along with further relief as mentioned in the petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, by making reference to the pleadings in the petition, submits that petitioner through regular selection process had joined as Senior Scientific Officer in Forensic Sciences Laboratory at Madhuban, Haryana on 13.08.2004. Thereafter, she was selected on the Post of Assistant Director Biology and had joined on 04.06.2010. She was further promoted as Deputy Director, FSL at Madhuban on 06.09.2016. He makes reference to the Haryana Forensic Science (Group-A) Service (Amendment) Rules 2003. He submits that the petitioner possesses all the requisite qualification required for the post of Director, but, the case has not been considered. He further submits that for

same/similar grievance, the petitioner had submitted a representation dated 26.03.2021 (Annexure P-7).

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Rohit Arya, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and without commenting upon the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.1/competent authority to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner as contained in the representation dated 26.03.2021 (Annexure P-7), in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, by passing a speaking order within **two months** from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Needless to say that in case the petitioner is found entitled on merits to the claim as raised in the representation, the same be disbursed within **one month** from the date of decision of the representation.

So ordered.

18.08.2021
Shruti

(Girish Agnihotri)
Judge

1. Whether speaking/reasoned?
2. Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No