

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

221

CRM-M-33495-2021

Date of Decision: 11.11.2021.

POOJA

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Raman Chawla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J.(Oral)

By way of this 2nd petition, the petitioner seeks regular bail in case bearing FIR No. 94 dated 26.10.2020, registered under Sections 376, 120-B, 328, 506 IPC; Section 6 of POCSO Act; Sections 4(2)C, 5(1)(D) of Immoral traffic Prevention Act, 1956 (Sections 376-D, 354-C, 506 part 1 of IPC; Section 21 of POCSO Act, Section 3(2) A, 4(1) of Immoral Traffic prevention Act; Section 3(2)v of SC/ST Act and Section 66(E), 67(B)(b) of Information Technology Act, added later on), at Police Station Women Bhiwani.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no offence has been committed by the petitioner; that the bail application of the petitioner has wrongly been dismissed by the trial Court, on the basis of some alleged video, whereas the fact remains that the co-accused were granted regular bail, within two months.

On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner contending that the child victim while appearing as PW-9 before the trial Court has supported the

prosecution version. He further submits that on 22.03.2021, the earlier petition preferred by the petitioner seeking regular bail was dismissed as withdrawn after noticing the role attributed to the petitioner.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the present petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

11.11.2021

Aman Jain

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No