

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

LPA-700-2021(O&M)
Date of decision : 18.08.2021

Kulwinder Kaur

... Appellant

Versus

Indian Oil Corporation Limited and others

... Respondents

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR VERMA***

Present: Mr. Kamal Sehgal, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Ashish Kapoor, Advocate
for the respondents.

(Proceedings through Video Conferencing)

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J.(ORAL)

Having heard learned counsel for the parties, we do not find any ground for interfering in the order dated 19.07.2021 passed by the learned Single Judge. The apprehension of the learned counsel for the appellant that the observations of the learned Single Judge in the order dated 19.07.2021 gives a categorical finding against the appellant is misplaced as in our opinion these are only tactical observations for the purpose of decision on the interim relief which the appellant has sought. The Court has left the matter open for consideration on 31.08.2021 and obviously the learned Single Judge was looking forward to the report to be received after the test and analysis of parts of the dispensing unit which is suspected to have been fiddled by the appellant.

brought to the notice of this Court an e-mail dated 09.08.2021, according to which the appellant has been called upon to sign the corrected minutes of meeting held on 27.07.2021.

Learned counsel for the appellant states that the representatives of the appellant would approach the Divisional Office, Bathinda, today itself for doing the needful. On doing so, let the report of the test/analysis of dispensing unit parts be made available to the parties within a period of four days of such signing of corrected minutes of meeting.

The present appeal stands disposed of accordingly as the next date of hearing before the learned Single Judge is 31.08.2021. In case the report comes in favour of the appellant, it would be open to the appellant to approach the learned Single Judge for the interim relief as has been prayed and earlier declined. Liberty may also be sought by the appellant for placing on record any additional documents to substantiate her contention.

Since the main appeal has been disposed of, no order is required to be passed in the pending civil miscellaneous applications and those also stand disposed of.

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

(ASHOK KUMAR VERMA)
JUDGE

August 18, 2021

Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No