

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-33155-2021

Date of decision:-27.8.2021

Vijay Kumar @ Shammi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN**Present** : Mr.Karan Sachdeva, Advocate
for the petitioner.

H.S. MADAAN, J.(ORAL)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has referred to various judgments i.e. Runu Roy Versus State of Assam, 2005(17) RCR(Criminal)602, Ganesh Raj Versus State of Rajasthan and others, 2005(3) RCR(Criminal) 30 and Varinder Singh @ Jhirmal Versus State of Punjab, 2020(2) RCR(Criminal)782 in support of his contention that on account of change in circumstances, second petition for pre-arrest bail is maintainable. But since the Hon'ble Apex Court in recent judgment G.R. Ananda Babu Versus The State of Tamil Nadu & Anr. has observed otherwise and in view of such judgment of Hon'ble Apex Court, the judgments passed by the High Courts are not to be

followed.

Therefore, the present petition is not maintainable and stands dismissed accordingly.

27.8.2021
Brij

(H.S.MADAAN)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No