109

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-15633-2021
Date of decision : 24.08.2021

Sonu Kumar and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Ajay Jain, Advocate for the petitioners.

****

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL)

This case has been taken up through Video Conferencing via Webex facility in the light of Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

Challenge in the present writ petition is to the show cause notice dated 22.07.2021 (Annexure P-5) as also subsequent order dated 06.08.2021 (Annexure P-6) issued under Section 10(2) of the Haryana Development and Regulation of Urban Areas Act, 1975 (hereinafter to be referred as “the Act of 1975”).

Counsel, at the very outset, seeks withdrawal of the instant petition so as to avail the statutory remedy of appeal provided under Section 19 of the Act of 1975.

PAWAN KUMAR
2021.08.24 14:28
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh

Without even going into the issue with regard to the maintainability of the appeal, the present writ petition is disposed of as not pressed as per the submissions made by the counsel.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

24.08.2021
Pawan

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No

PAWAN KUMAR
2021.08.24 14:28
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh