

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.15599 of 2021 (O&M)

Date of Decision:18.08.2021

Kamil Ali and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr. Mohd. Yousuf, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashish Yadav, Addl.AG., Haryana.

LISA GILL, J(Oral).

This matter is being taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to outbreak of the pandemic, COVID-19.

Prayer in this writ petition is for a direction to respondents no.3 to 6 not to harass or humiliate the petitioners at the instance of private respondents and not to pressurize them to handover custody of the minor child of petitioner no.3 and respondent no.7.

It is stated that matrimonial dispute arose between petitioner no.3 (wrongly mentioned as petitioner no.4 at various places in the petition) and respondent no.7, due to which private respondents are trying to harass and humiliate the petitioners and that police officials at Police Station Women Cell Yamuna Nagar, are aiding the private respondents for the same. The petitioners also fear for their life and liberty.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State, to whom an advance copy of the writ petition has been supplied, on instructions from ASI Shabana, Police Station Women Cell, Yamuna Nagar, submits that there is

no question of any harassment etc., at the hands of the official respondents or any connivance with the private respondents. In-fact, complaint relating to the matrimonial discord was submitted by respondent no.7 and the matter was referred to the Women Cell, Yamuna Nagar. Petitioners have unnecessarily filed the present writ petition. Moreover, allegations of pressurizing the petitioners to handover custody of minor child, are denied being incorrect. However, the official respondents are bound to look into the complaint submitted by respondent no.7 in accordance with law. Present petition, it is submitted seems to have been filed only with a view to by-pass the same.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

At this stage, I do not find any ground to interfere, especially keeping in view the specific statement of learned counsel for the respondent-State as above. Needless to say, in case, petitioners have any apprehension regarding threat to their life and liberty at the hands of the private respondents, it is always open to them to approach respondent no.2, who, needless to say, would look into the matter and take appropriate action, if any/ as may be required.

Writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

18.08.2021

s.khan

[LISA GILL]

Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.

Whether reportable : Yes/No.