

Malkit Singh and others

....Petitioners

VS

Superintending Canal Officer, Canal Circle Ferozepur and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

**Present:** Mr. Nitin Rampal, Advocate for the petitioners

Mr. Karandeep S. Sidhu, Advocate with  
 Mr. Sandeep Khunger, Advocate  
 for the caveator/respondents No. 3, 4 and 8

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)**

The petitioners are aggrieved because their land has not been transferred to another outlet. It is submitted that they are identically placed as the private respondents whose application for transfer has been allowed. Thus, the objections filed by the petitioners against the transfer application filed by them should have been treated as an application for transfer and land of the petitioners should also have been transferred.

The argument is not acceptable. The authorities below have also found that in case the petitioners wanted their land to be transferred they should have filed their own application. The same having not been done, no relief could be granted to them.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he may be permitted to withdraw this writ petition with liberty to file a separate application for transfer.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforementioned liberty.

( SUDHIR MITTAL )  
 JUDGE

25.08.2021

reena

Whether speaking/reasoned  
 Whether Reportable :

Yes/No  
 Yes/No