

106

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-7701-2021

Date of decision : 18.08.2021

Arina

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Ms. Vijay Lakshmi, Advocate for the petitioner.

(Through Video Conferencing)

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present Criminal Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of directions to respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner.

It is the case of the petitioner that although husband of the petitioner is involved in some criminal cases but the petitioner is not involved in any criminal case and thus, the petitioner should not be harassed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has further submitted that the petitioner has given a representation dated 11.08.2021 to respondent No.2 (Annexure P-4), in which, she has sought action against the police officials and for protection of her life and liberty.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that she would be satisfied if only aspect with respect to protection of life and liberty of the petitioner is taken into consideration by respondent No.2.

Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1 to 3 only.

On advance notice, Mr. Praveen Bhadu, AAG, Haryana appears

and accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3 and on a specific query raised by this Court, he has stated that he has no objection in case, respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, looks into the representation dated 11.08.2021 (Annexure P-4) with a limited prayer for only protection of life and liberty of the petitioner and takes appropriate action in accordance with law.

After considering the facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court deems it appropriate to direct respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, to look into the representation dated 11.08.2021 (Annexure P-4) with a limited prayer for only protection of life and liberty of the petitioner and after making necessary enquiries, respondent No.2 shall take appropriate action in accordance with law.

It is, however, clarified that this Court has not gone into the merits of the allegations made by the petitioner and it would be open to respondent No.2 to make his independent enquiry/assessment with regard to the representations with a limited prayer aforesaid.

In view of what has been observed above, the present Criminal Writ petition is disposed of in the abovesaid terms.

Nothing stated above shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

It is, however, clarified that this order shall not debar the State from proceeding against the petitioner, if involved in any case.

18.08.2021

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No