

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND  
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.32884 of 2021  
Date of Decision:13.10.2021  
(Heard through VC)**

Madhuri Sehdev and others

...Petitioners

Vs

State of Punjab and others

..Respondents

**CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR**

Present: Mr. G.S. Bawa, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Ms. Rashmi Attri, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Hitesh Chopra, Advocate  
for respondents No.2 and 3.

\*\*\*\*\*

**JAISHREE THAKUR J. (ORAL)**

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of the cross version registered vide DDR No.25 dated 11.05.2021 registered under Sections 323, 325, 341, 451, 506, 148, 149 IPC at Police Station Sadar Amritsar, District Police Commissionerate, Amritsar in FIR No.113 dated 08.05.2021 registered under Sections 406, 498-A, 323, 325, 342, 34 Indian Penal Code at Police Station Sadar Amritsar, District Police Commissionerate, Amritsar (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 02.08.2021 (Annexure P-3).

2. The DDR has been registered on the statement of complainant on the allegations of giving beatings to him and snatching gold ornaments by the accused-petitioners. Now with the intervention of respectable persons, the

matter has been amicably compromised between the parties and they have resolved their disputes and differences.

3. Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the trial court/Illaq Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Amritsar stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same is genuine one.

4. Learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab on instructions from the Investigating Officer and learned counsel for the respondent No.2 and 3 admit the factum of compromise. Learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR, in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record.

6. In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

7. Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another,**

(2014) 6 SCC 466, this petition is allowed and cross version registered vide DDR No.25 dated 11.05.2021 registered under Sections 323, 325, 341, 451, 506, 148, 149 IPC at Police Station Sadar Amritsar, District Police Commissionerate, Amritsar in FIR No.113 dated 08.05.2021 registered under Section 406, 498-A, 323, 325, 342, 34 Indian Penal Code at Police Station Sadar Amritsar, District Police Commissionerate, Amritsar (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua petitioners.

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)**  
**JUDGE**

**October 13, 2021**

P.Bhatt

Whether speaking/reasoned      Yes/No

Whether reportable                  Yes/No