

136 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.32766 of 2021
Date of Decision : 13.08.2021

Mohan ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Gaurav Tyagi, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

2. Prayer in the instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for issuing directions to the respondents to take action in accordance with law upon representation (Annexure P-2) dated 28.04.2021 for issuance of fire arms licence and for protecting the life and liberty of the petitioner and his family members at the hands of accused persons in case FIR No.187 dated 27.06.2020 registered under Section 307 IPC and Section 27 of the Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Rai, District Sonipat, Haryana.

3. Learned counsel contends that the petitioner's brother had got registered the aforementioned FIR and on account of threats of accused in said FIR, the petitioner apprehends harm to his and his family's life and liberty therefore, submitted representation

(Annexure P-2) dated 28.04.2021 to Deputy Commissioner, as well as to the Superintendent of Police on 28.04.2021 but no action has been taken on the same, till date. Learned counsel in the circumstances states that the petitioner would be satisfied, if the instant petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide representation (Annexure P-2) dated 28.04.2021 in accordance with law for protecting the life and liberty of the petitioner and his family members at the hands of the accused persons in case FIR No.187 dated 27.06.2020 registered under Section 307 IPC and Section 27 of the Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Rai, District Sonapat, Haryana.

4. Notice to respondent No.2 only.

5. Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, learned DAG, Haryana, accepts notice and states that he has no objection to the limited prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner for consideration of the representation (Annexure P/2) filed by the petitioner for protection of life and liberty of the petitioner and his family members.

6. Accordingly, in view of the statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, as well as learned State Counsel, the instant petition is ***disposed of*** by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide representation (Annexure P-2) dated 28.04.2021 in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible and take such steps, in respect thereto as may be warranted in the facts and circumstances of the case to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and his family members, on assessment of threat perception to the petitioner and his family members at the hands of

the accused persons in the aforesaid FIR.

13.08.2021

'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned

Whether reportable

:

:

Yes/No

Yes/No

(B.S. Walia)
Judge