

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-32729-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision: 15.09.2021

Wakeel

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Ms. Rosi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Singh Chaudhary, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Through the instant petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No. 171 dated 04.08.2018, registered under Sections 13(1), 13(3) of the Haryana Gauvansh Sanrakshan and Gausamvardhan Act, 2015 and 120-B IPC, at Police Station Nagina, District Nuh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present; that the petitioner was not arrested at the spot and that that no specific role has been attributed to him. It is further submitted that co-accused Tarif has already been granted regular bail by the Sessions Court, vide order dated 02.11.2018.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of this Court, Mr. Ashok Singh Chaudhary, Addl. A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. He submits that there are serious allegations against the petitioner; that the petitioner is a habitual offender and that vide order dated 31.08.2019, the petitioner has been declared a proclaimed person.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner has been involved in others cases also, which clearly indicates towards his criminal antecedents. Moreover, vide order dated 31.08.2019, the petitioner was declared as proclaimed offender. Still further, the allegations contained in the FIR are very serious in nature, inasmuch as 238 cow skins had been recovered from the vehicle bearing Registration No. HR-74A-6458, wherein the petitioner and his companion were allegedly going to Alwar to sell the cow skins after slaughtering the cows.

Thus, keeping in view the fact that the petitioner is a habitual offender having brazen criminal antecedents coupled with the nature of allegations contained in the FIR, he does not deserve concession of the anticipatory bail.

In view of the above, the petition is dismissed.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

15.09.2021

Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No