

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

212

CRM-M-27984-2020

Date of decision: 22.04.2021

Satnam Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. F.S.Virk, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Avtar Singh Sandhu, Addl.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Hardeep Singh, Advocate
for the complainant.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Short affidavit of the Superintendent Central Jail, Patiala, has been filed through e.mail. Print out of the same is taken on record.

Prayer is for grant of regular bail in case FIR No. 76 dated 01.04.2020, registered at Police Station City Rajpura, District Patiala, under Sections 307, 324 and 506 of IPC (Section 326 IPC added later on).

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He has been in custody since 21.05.2020. The investigation has been completed and challan has already been presented. Nothing has been recovered from the petitioner. No other case is pending against the petitioner.

The complainant in this case is Rimpay Rani, who was married to Satnam Singh-petitioner on 2006. Out of the said wedlock, one daughter

aged 13 years and one son aged 11 years were born. The petitioner Satnam Singh was earlier married and had divorced from his first wife. Later on, he performed marriage with the complainant.

Learned State counsel assisted by the counsel for the complainant have stated that on the intervening night of 31.03.2020/01.04.2020 at 12.15 a.m., the complainant was dragged by the petitioner and had inflicted knife blows in the abdomen, back as well as on the arms of the complainant. The injury in the abdomen had been declared grievous in nature. With the intervention of the daughter of the complainant aged 13 years, the complainant was saved.

It has been informed by the counsel for the complainant that Amandeep Kaur, daughter of the complainant has stepped into the witness box as PW3 and has supported the prosecution version.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

In the present case, the petitioner had inflicted seven knife blows on the person of the complainant, out of which two blows have been declared grievous in nature.

Keeping in view the above, no case is made out for granting the bail to the petitioner.

Dismissed.

22.04.2021

anju

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No