

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.14189 of 2020
Date of decision: 08.01.2021

Dalbir Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

(Through video conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sumit S. Bairagi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Short reply filed by the learned counsel for the respondents in the Court today is taken on record.

In the present writ petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that the candidates, who are lower in merit than him, have been appointed, whereas, the petitioner's claim for appointment to the post of Clerk as advertised by the respondents has not been considered.

The claim of the petitioner has been refuted by the respondents by filing a short written statement, wherein, it has been mentioned that no candidate having lower merit than the petitioner has been appointed to the said post. As per the respondents, the last candidate appointed in general category (HH) has secured 52 marks, whereas, the petitioner, who also belongs to the general category (HH) has secured 50 marks.

Faced with this, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was expecting more than 50 marks keeping in view the fact that he has given correct answers to large numbers of questions and, therefore, the petitioner be allowed to withdraw this petition with liberty to approach the respondent-Commission in this regard for the redressal of his grievance in that regard.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

January 08, 2021
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No