

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-15400-2021

Date of Decision: 05.10.2021

SADHU RAM

..... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

..... Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Yadav, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

LISA GILL, J.

This matter is being taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to the outbreak of pandemic, COVID-19.

Prayer in this writ petition is for directing respondents no.2 and 3 to get a fair and impartial enquiry conducted in the complaint, moved by respondent no.6 against the petitioner, from some independent agency or higher officer, as the matter is stated to be a counter blast to the complaint moved by the petitioner.

Though notice of motion was not issued in this writ petition, on the last date of hearing, contention of learned counsel for the petitioner that he did not expect a fair and impartial enquiry in the pending complaint moved by respondent no.6 against him as petitioner had submitted a complaint against respondent no.4 was noted and Mr. Ashish Yadav, Addl. A.G., Haryana, learned counsel for the State, to whom an advance copy of the writ petition had been supplied, was asked to seek instructions in this regard.

Mr. Ashish Yadav, Addl. A.G., Haryana, learned counsel for the State, on instructions from Mr. Parmod Sharma, District Food Supplies Controller, Kaithal, submits that enquiry in question has been entrusted to Additional Deputy Commissioner, Kaithal instead of respondent no.4 i.e. the District Food Supplies Controller, Kaithal and that the matter shall be looked into with all fairness and impartiality. It is further submitted that the official against whom the petitioner had submitted a complaint namely Mahabir, the then District Food Supplies Controller, Kaithal (respondent no.4) has since been transferred from district Kaithal and a new incumbent has joined on the said post.

Matter has been called twice but none has joined proceedings on behalf of the petitioner.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances as above, I do not find any justification for adjourning the matter as it appears that grievance of the petitioner stands redressed and this writ petition is rendered infructuous. No further orders are called for in this writ petition at this stage.

Writ petition is accordingly disposed of. Needless to say, liberty is afforded to the petitioner to file appropriate application in case of any factual inaccuracy in the facts set forth before this Court.

05.10.2021
Sunil

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No