

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 32496 of 2021
Date of decision: 12.08.2021**

Rajiv Kumar @ Gama

..... Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Navkiran Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab, for the respondent.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 147 dated 05.07.2021, under Sections 307, 323, 341, 506, 34 and 336 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, besides Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Division No. 4, District Patiala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the incident is the outcome of a sudden quarrel between the petitioner and the complainant. The cousin of the petitioner is married to the complainant. He also contends that there is no gunshot injury and it is alleged that the petitioner had hit the complainant with the butt of the pistol. The doctor has also expressed doubt over the nature of the injury, as it could be due to an old injury.

Heard.

It is alleged that the petitioner, who was armed with a pistol, had fired in the air and had given a blow with the backside of the pistol on the

head of the complainant. The injury on the complainant was found to be dangerous to life as opined by the Senior Resident, Department of Neurosurgery, PGIMER, Chandigarh.

In view of the allegations of the petitioner having caused injury on the head of the complainant, which was found to be dangerous to life, I do not deem it to be a fit case to grant the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

However, it is clarified that nothing which has been observed here-in-above shall be deemed to have any bearing on the merits of the case.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

12.08.2021
Ramesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No