

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(113)

CRWP-7584-2021

Date of decision: 12.08.2021

Palwinder Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Tanvir Singh Attariwala, Advocate
for the petitioner.

...

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

The Court has been convened through video conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

Instant petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a direction to official respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner from the hands of respondent No.4 and his associates.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that despite having lost in the civil suit, respondent No.4 and his henchmen forcibly tried to take possession of the property on 06.06.2021 from the petitioner, who is a 60 years old widow. He submits that the petitioner has submitted representations to the Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar – respondent No.2, however, the same have not been attended to. Counsel submits that the petitioner would be satisfied in case a direction is issued to the concerned respondent to look into the representation (Annexure P-7).

Request made by counsel for the petitioner has been considered.

Without expressing any opinion on the allegations made in the petition or in the representation, the petition is disposed of with a direction to the Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar – respondent No.2 to examine the representation (Annexure P-7) submitted by the petitioner and thereafter to take appropriate steps as warranted in accordance with law.

A copy of the representation (Annexure P-7) along with the order be communicated to respondent No.2 so as to enable him to do the needful expeditiously.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

12.08.2021
Pardeep

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No