

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

212

Date of decision: 11.02.2021
CWP-14045-2020

RAHUL

...Petitioner

Versus

CHAUDHARY DEVI LAL UNIVERSITY AND ANR ...Respondents

CWP-14864-2020

PROMILA

...Petitioner

Versus

CHAUDHARY DEVI LAL UNIVERSITY AND ANR ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present : None for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh K. Sheoran, Advocate
for University (CWP-14864-2020)

Mr. Naman Jain, Advocate
for University (CWP-14045-2020)

Mr. Kirti Singh, DAG, Haryana.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (Oral)

By this order CWP No.14045 and 14864 of 2020 shall stand decided.

Although, learned counsel for the petitioner has not come forward to attend the hearing, however, this Court has heard learned counsel for the respondents.

In CWP No.14045 of 2020, the following order was passed on 10.09.2020:-

Matter has been taken up through Video Conferencing via Webex facility in the light of the Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

Counsel submits that the petitioner was engaged as a part time teacher (Sanskrit) under the Chaudhary Devi Lal

University, Sirsa in the month of August, 2019.

Challenge in the instant writ petition is to an advertisement at Annexure P-1 inviting applications for candidates to attend a walk-in interview to be engaged as part time teachers in the various departments including the Sanskrit Department as well.

It is argued that work and conduct of the petitioner has been without blemish and the action of the respondent/University in seeking to replace one contractual employee with a similar arrangement would be in conflict with the dictum laid down by the Apex Court in Hargurpartap Singh Vs. State of Punjab & others, 2007 (13) SCC 292.

Notice of motion, returnable for 10.11.2020.

Mr. Sharan Sethi, learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana accepts notice and waives service.

A complete copy of the writ paper book has already been furnished.

Status quo with regard to service of the petitioner as it exists today shall be maintained till the next date of hearing.

Sh. Rajesh Sheoran, Advocate (CWP-14864-2020) submits that the University has no plan to relieve the petitioners from the services and the recruitment notice has been issued in view of enhanced workload only. He submits that the apprehension of the petitioners that their services are being replaced by another set of contractual employee is misplaced. He submits that the petitioners shall not be replaced if their work and conduct is appropriate.

In view of the aforesaid statement, no further order is required to be passed.

Hence, the writ petitions are disposed of.

11.02.2021

ashok

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes / No
Yes / No