

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

118

COCP No. 1668-2021

Date of Decision:01.11.2021

Khushi Ram

....Petitioner

Versus

Chander Parkash and another

....Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present: Mr. C.R. Narwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

The petitioner had filed CWP No. 2927 of 2021 raising the plea that he has not been supplied the information by State Public Information Office (SPIO)-cum-Naib Tehsildar, Behal under the provisions of Right to Information Act, 2005.

The State counsel on instructions stated that the petitioner has been asked to inspect the record and seek whatever specific documents he requires. But he did not do so. In view thereof it was held that no ground was made out to entertain the writ petition as the petitioner had not inspected the record despite being asked to do so. As regards his grievance that order of the State Information Commissioner had not been complied with. The petition was disposed of vide order dated 12.02.2021 with liberty to the petitioner to pursue his remedy under RTI Act, if he was still aggrieved for non compliance of the order passed by the State Information Commission.

The writ petition has been disposed of giving liberty to the petitioner to avail remedy under Right to Information Act. There

is no specific direction to any respondent. The petitioner has not been able to point out any act on part of the respondent, which may constitute non-compliance of the directions. Therefore, the present petition is dismissed.

[HARINDER SINGH SIDHU]
JUDGE

November 01, 2021
rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned	yes/no
Whether reportable?	yes/no