

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

221

CRM-M-32494-2021

Date of decision: 29.11.2021

Gaurav Kapoor

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Jaswinder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana
for the respondent-State.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.119 dated 02.03.2021 under Sections 498-A, 323, 406 and 34 IPC registered at Police Station Shivaji Colony, District Rohtak.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 16.08.2021, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel on instructions has not controverted the factum of the petitioner having joined the investigation, however, she has strongly opposed the grant of the extraordinary concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. She has invited the attention of this Court to the allegations levelled against the petitioner in the FIR in question. It has been submitted that the petitioner had been subjecting the complainant wife to continuous mental and physical cruelty which found due corroboration from her medico legal report. She has further submitted that it was a matter of record that on account of being physically assaulted the complainant-wife had to be hospitalised from

17.12.2020 to 23.12.2020 and after assaulting his wife, the petitioner went missing as a result of which FIR No.31 dated 19.01.2021 under Section 346 IPC stood registered against him at Police State Urban Estate Rohtak. A prayer has, therefore, been made to not extend the extraordinary concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner as his custodial interrogation is required.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material on record.

Prima facie there are serious and specific allegations levelled against the petitioner for which he does not deserve the extraordinary concession of anticipatory bail.

Dismissed.

29.11.2021

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Vinay

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No