

COCP-1660-2021

Date of Decision : 21.09.2021

Sukhpal Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Rajeev Arora, IAS

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Rapton Bedi, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.
2. Prayer in the petition under Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, is for initiating action against the respondent for intentionally and willfully disobeying order, Annexure P/1 dated 29.01.2021 in CWP No.2047 of 2021, corrected vide order, Annexure P/2 dated 19.02.2021.
3. Reply along with order, Annexure R/1 dated 14.09.2021, is taken on record.
4. Learned counsel contends that vide orders Annexures P/1 and P/2, respondent No.1 was directed to decide the appeal filed by the petitioner in accordance with law in a time bound manner, preferably within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order but now in view of order, Annexure R/1 dated 14.09.2021 deciding the appeal, filed by the petitioner, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the contempt

petition and the same may be disposed of as such.

5. I have considered the submissions of leaned counsel and after taking into account the position as noted above, statement of learned counsel for the petitioner as also the fact that orders, Annexure P/1 and P/2 in CWP No.2047 of 2021 have been complied with and the appeal filed by the petitioner decided, no action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 is called for.
6. Accordingly, the contempt petition is disposed of as such.
7. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

21.09.2021
'Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No