

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr.No.109

CRM-M No.32573 of 2021

Date of Decision: **12th August, 2021.**

Sanjay Kumar & Another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & Others

...Respondents

(Heard through Video-Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. Jarnail Singh Saneta, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

After arguing for a while, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition while submitting that the petitioners would be availing the alternative efficacious remedy, as may be permissible to them under law, for seeking the relief as claimed in this petition.

Mr. Apoorv Garg, learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, who has joined the proceedings on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 in this case in pursuance of the copies of this petition having been sent to the respondents-State in advance, has no objection to the above-mentioned submission/prayer of learned counsel for the petitioners.

Resultantly, the petition in hand stands dismissed for having been withdrawn accordingly.

**(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE**

12.08.2021.

seema

Whether speaking/reasoned?	No
Whether Reportable?	No