

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-15742 of 2021 (O&M)

Date of decision: 17.08.2021

Vinod Kumar and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Haryana Vidyut Parsaran Nigam Ltd., Panchkula and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN**

Present: Mr. Aalok Jagga, Advocate for the petitioner.

**H.S. MADAAN, J. (Oral)**

Case taken up through video conferencing.

Petitioner Vinod Kumar and 04 others working as Assistant Engineers with Haryana Vidyut Parsaran Nigam Ltd., have brought the instant civil writ petition praying for quashing of recommendations of the Screaming Committee dated 08.03.2021 (Annexure P-18) and Item No.36 of the minutes of 60<sup>th</sup> meeting dated 24.05.2021 (Annexure P-19) as well as revised tentative seniority list dated 20.07.2021 (Annexure P-21), contending that the action so taken by the respondents is under contravention of law laid down by the Apex Court in case **Ajit Singh Vs. State of Punjab**, 1999 (7) SCC 209 and instructions dated 22.11.1999, wherein it has been held that reserved category candidates on accelerated promotion on roster point shall not be entitled for accelerated seniority.

According to learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners had submitted a representation dated 08.07.2021, copy Annexure P-20 to the respondents but that has not evoked any response.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has referred to judgments Ajit Singh Vs. State of Punjab, 1999 (4) SCT 1 and S. Panneer Selvam & Ors. Vs. Government of Tamil Nadu & Ors., 2015 (4) SCT 299 in support of his contentions.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3.

Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of such respondents.

After hearing counsel for the petitioner and learned State counsel, I find that in order to avoid unnecessary litigation and to afford an opportunity to the respondents to consider the grievances of the petitioners and if some remedial action is required to be taken, then to do so, the present writ petition is disposed of directing respondents No.1 to 3 to consider the representation (Annexure P-20) of the petitioners, as per law, rules, regulations and if some action in the matter is warranted, then the needful be done within a period of 02 months from the date of receipt of copy of this order. A detailed speaking order giving reasons be passed in that regard.

It is clarified that in case the petitioners still feel dissatisfied after disposal of their representation, then they may approach the Court again in accordance with law for redressal of their grievances.

17.08.2021

*sumit.k*

**(H.S. MADAAN)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :  
Whether Reportable :

|     |    |
|-----|----|
| Yes | No |
| Yes | No |