

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

111+209

CRM-18877-2021 IN/AND

CRM-M-26958-2020

DATE OF DECISION: 07.07.2021

SUMIT @ MAMAN

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. D.K. Tuteja, Advocate for
Mr. Subhash Godara, Advocate for the applicant/petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, DAG, AAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.428 dated 08.09.2018, under Sections 148, 149, 302, 307, 506, 120-B IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Tosham, District Bhiwani.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is not named in the FIR wherein three accused are specifically named. The petitioner has been arraigned as an accused on the statement of the co-accused that the petitioner was also there with them but no overt act is attributed to him. The petitioner is in custody for over 2 years and 10 months. He is not involved in any other criminal case.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Amarjit Singh, contends that 7 out of 30 prosecution witnesses have been examined.

Heard.

In view of the above, especially when the petitioner is in custody for over 2 years and 10 months, he is not involved in any other criminal case, the COVID-19 pandemic and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

Crl. Misc. application also stands disposed of.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

07.07.2021

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No