

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-31865 of 2021

Date of Decision: 10.08.2021

Love Jeet Singh

Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. PPS Duggal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

The matter is taken up for hearing through video conference due to COVID-19 situation.

This petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. is filed seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 76 dated 29.6.2021, under Sections 323, 324 read with Section 34 IPC (Section 326 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Makhu, District Ferozepur.

The FIR was registered on the statement of Balwinder Singh. As per the allegations, on 26.6.2021, there was an incident in which the complainant was inflicted injuries by Love Jeet Singh (petitioner) and Harjeet Singh. The petitioner was armed with a *dang*. He gave a blow on the right shoulder and on the left hand of the complainant.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the incident was a result of dispute regarding irrigation of the fields. The petitioner was armed with a *dang* and the injuries attributed to him are simple in nature. He submits that the matter has been compromised on 5.7.2021.

Learned counsel for the State appearing on advance notice opposes the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail.

The injuries attributed to the petitioner are simple in nature. He

was armed with a *dang*. From the pleadings, it is forth coming that the water dispute turned ugly and the incident took place. Good sense has prevailed over the parties and they have abridged their differences. No useful purpose would be served by denying personal liberty of the petitioner. The petitioner is granted anticipatory bail subject to his joining investigation within two weeks. In the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on bail subject to his furnishing adequate bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. He is directed to join the investigation as and when called for. He shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition is allowed.

In case of failure of petitioner to join the investigation, the State would be at liberty to file an application for re-calling of this order.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

10th August, 2021
mk

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes / No |