

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH
(Heard through VC)**

**CRM-M-32078 of 2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 16.8.2021**

Manjinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. P.S. Jammu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Sihag, AAG, Haryana.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J.

This is the second petition that has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 78 dated 14.6.2018, under Section 302, 201 IPC registered at Police Station Ding Sirsa, District Sirsa.

Learned counsel for the petitioner would contend that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant FIR. In fact, the petitioner has been named in the FIR only due to strained relations between the complainant family and the petitioner. It is further submitted that the petitioner is in custody for the last two years and since the trial is likely to take long time, therefore, the petitioner be released on regular bail.

Appearance has been caused by the learned counsel for the respondent—State, who is present through the medium of video conferencing. He opposes the bail on the ground that there are serious

allegations against the petitioner, as he allegedly committed the murder of his own brother-in-law. It is further submitted that out of 20 witnesses 17 have been examined and only formal witnesses are to be examined.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have perused the paper book.

Keeping in view the allegations levelled against the petitioner and the fact that the trial is about to conclude as only formal witnesses remain to be examined, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

Dismissed.

16.8.2021
prem

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No