

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

222

**CRM-M No. 27060 of 2020  
Date of decision : 01.09.2021**

Vijay

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL**

Present: Mr. Akashdeep Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.  
Mr. Ashok Singh Chaudhary, Addl. AG Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J.**

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Prayer in this petition is for grant of regular bail in case FIR No. 624 dated 1.12.2018 registered under Sections 302 and 346 IPC at Police Station Ganaur, District Sonapat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that it is a case of circumstantial evidence and the name of the petitioner has cropped up in the supplementary statement of the complainant made on 01.08.2019 i.e. after about 9 months of the registration of the FIR. It is further stated that in order to connect the petitioner with the crime, the prosecution is relying upon the fact that the petitioner made a call to the deceased on 29.11.2018.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel while opposing the prayer for bail vehemently contends that the complainant in his supplementary statement dated 01.08.2019 specifically stated that the petitioner used to come to his house off and on and he and the deceased had consumed the liquor on 29.11.2018 and thereafter, the petitioner had committed the murder of his son. It is thus submitted that there being strong

last seen evidence against the petitioner, he is not entitled to concession of bail.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The allegations against the petitioner are very serious in nature. It is the father of the deceased who after having located the mobile phone of the petitioner from beneath the mattress of the bed of the deceased, had made his supplementary statement on 01.08.2019 and handed over the said mobile phone to the police. The petitioner does not deny that the mobile did not belong to him. Therefore, there being strong circumstantial evidence against the petitioner, no case is made out for grant of regular bail to the petitioner.

Dismissed.

01.09.2021

Rajeev (rvs)

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether reportable

Yes/No  
Yes/No