

105

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-31882-2021

Date of decision : 10.08.2021

Afrina

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Gagandeep Singh Virk, Advocate for the petitioner.

(Through Video Conferencing)

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. for directing the official respondents to comply with the provisions of law, moreso, Section 160 of Cr.P.C.

It is the case of the petitioner that respondent No.4 had entered into an agreement to sell dated 07.04.2021 with husband of the petitioner qua his share in the property bearing House No.2905, Sector 32-A, Ward No.11, Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana. It is further the case of the petitioner that thereafter, the possession of portion of the property was delivered to the husband of the petitioner and when the dispute arose, the husband of the petitioner filed a Civil Suit for permanent injunction against respondent No.4, in which notice has been issued to respondent No.4. It is further stated that respondent No.4 being unhappy with the petitioner filing the Civil Suit, is trying to harass the petitioner and her family members. Regarding the

same, a detailed representation dated 07.07.2021 (Annexure P-2) has been submitted by the petitioner to the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana-respondent No.2.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that the petitioner would be satisfied at this stage, in case respondent No.2 is directed to look into the representation dated 07.07.2021 (Annexure P-2) and after enquiring into the whole aspect, take action in accordance with law.

Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1 to 3 only.

On advance notice, Mr. Saurav Khurana, DAG, Punjab, appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3.

After considering the facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court deems it appropriate to direct respondent No.2-Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana to look into the representation dated 07.07.2021 (Annexure P-2) and after making necessary enquiries, respondent No.2 shall take appropriate action in accordance with law.

It is, however, clarified that this Court has not gone into the merits of the allegations made by the petitioner and it would be open to respondent No.2 to make his independent enquiry/assessment with regard to the representation.

In view of what has been observed above, the present petition is disposed of in the abovesaid terms.

10.08.2021

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No