

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.202

CRM-M-26525-2020

Date of decision: 22.01.2021

Rahul Deora

....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Kulbhushan Raheja, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Bhavna Gupta, DAG, Punjab.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

Through the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. the petitioner seeks the grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.121 dated 30.06.2017 registered under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 IPC at Police Station City Moga District Moga.

The petitioner is sought to be prosecuted for having applied for appointment to the post of Constable in the Punjab Police on the strength of a fake 10+2 certificate.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case; that the incident in question allegedly took place 3½ years earlier and in the interregnum the petitioner has voluntarily taken part in atleast three enquiries which clearly shows his bona fide; in two of the aforesaid enquiries conducted by SSP Moga and SSP Faridkot, the petitioner was found innocent; no recovery is to be made from the petitioner; there is no other criminal case in which the petitioner is involved; as and when the petitioner came to know that his 10+2 certificate was not genuine, he withdrew his candidature and that under the interim orders passed by this Court the petitioner has not only joined the investigation but he has also fully cooperated with the investigating agency.

Learned State counsel submits that under the interim orders passed by this Court the petitioner has not only joined the investigation but he has also fully co-operated with the investigating agency and that his custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

After considering the above noticed facts especially the statement made by the learned State counsel that the petitioner has joined the investigation and that his custodial interrogation is not required, the order of this Court dated 09.09.2020, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

Nothing observed herein-above shall be considered to be an expression of opinion by this Court on the merits of the case.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

January 22, 2021

Jyoti 1

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No