

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

210)

CRM-M-26394 of 2020

Date of Decision: 21.01.2021

Jagjeet Singh @ Jagga Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Varinder Singh Rana, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Case heard by video conferencing.

By this petition, filed under the provisions of Section 438 of the Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks the concession of 'anticipatory bail, upon FIR no.87, dated 20.08.2020, having been registered at Police Station Balianwali, District Bathinda, alleging therein the commission of offences punishable under Sections 18 and 29 of the NDPS Act.

On November 02, 2020, the following order had been passed:

“Status report by way of affidavit dated 28.09.2020 of Sh. Parmjit Singh Doad, DSP (D), Bathinda on behalf of the respondent has been filed in the Registry. The same is taken on record. Registry to tag the status report at appropriate place.

Learned State Counsel seeks time regarding the status of investigation in the matter.

On his request, adjourned to 21.01.2021.

Since the recovery is from the co-accused and that too, less than commercial, therefore, in the meanwhile, petitioner will join the investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail in the present case till

the next date of hearing on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Today, learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that the petitioner in this petition has joined investigation and presently at least his custodial interrogation is not required.

That being so, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case, whatsoever, since the investigating agency itself does not require the custodial interrogation of the petitioner, this petition has in fact been rendered infructuous and is disposed of as such.

However, if the petitioners' custodial interrogation is required at any stage hereinafter, in the context of the FIR in question, he would be given 7 days notice before arrest, duly shown to be served upon him.

21.01.2021
vcgarg

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes
Whether reportable: No