

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.125

**CWP-18837-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision : 21.09.2021**

Raj Kumar

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

Superintending Canal Officer and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. L.K. Yadav, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

A perusal of the annexures annexed with the writ petition shows that pursuant to transfer of 5.43 acres of land owned by Ramdhari son of Chandu Ram to outlet 23500-L, an application was made for wara-bandi. The application has been rejected. Appeals against the said order have been dismissed. The synopsis filed with the writ petition gives an impression that the petitioner is aggrieved on account of transfer of land belonging to the private respondents from one outlet to another. Thus, the synopsis is at variance with the pleaded case.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner wishes to withdraw this petition with liberty to file a fresh one on the same cause of action.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforementioned liberty.

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

21.09.2021

Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned

Whether Reportable

Yes / No

Yes/ No

RAMANDEEP SINGH
2021.09.22 15:34
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document