

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

119

CRWP-7378-2021**Date of Decision: 06.08.2021**

Mamta Choudhary

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. K.B.Raheja, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

This petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directing the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of private respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that respondents No. 5 to 10 who are the parents and the relatives of the petitioner pressing hard the petitioner to marry a person of their choice whereas petitioner wants to study further and does not want to marry at this stage. The petitioner is alleged to be residing with Mohinder Kaur who is her aunt. Learned counsel in support of his contention relies upon the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in case titled as *Manoj Mahavir Prasad Khaitan Vs. Ram Gopal Poddar and Anr* 2011 (5) RCR (Crl.) 1.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner submitted a representation dated 23.07.2021 (Annexure P-2) to respondent No. 2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka, but till date no action has

been taken on it.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

On the asking of this Court, Mr. Avtar Singh Sandhu, Addl. AG Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka to decide the representation dated 23.07.2021 (Annexure P-2) within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, in accordance with law.

06.08.2021

Rajeev (rvs)

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>