

115

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No. 7525 of 2021

Date of Decision: 13.08.2021

Hardeep Kaur and another

-Petitioners

Versus

Union Territory Chandigarh and others

-Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. A.P.S. Tung, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video-conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that this is the first marriage between the petitioners.

Petitioners have prayed for issuance of necessary directions to the official respondents for protecting their civil/personal rights and liberties from being invaded by the private respondents. Petitioners are alleged to have solemnized marriage on 10.07.2021 on attaining the age of majority. They feel reasonable apprehension and threat perception at the hands of the private respondents and pray that their personal/civil rights be not violated by them.

Precisely, in the context of aforesaid relief, petitioners

have approached the Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh/respondent No.2 by way of representation dated 22.07.2021 (through speed post).

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Viranjeet Singh Mahal, A.P.P., UT, Chandigarh accepts notice on behalf of State-respondents No.1 to 3 and submits that the police could not locate the petitioners as they have left the address given in the representation.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that they had to leave the residence owing to the continuous threat at the instance of respondents No.4 to 9.

At this stage, without meaning anything in the context of validity of marriage and majority of the petitioners, it would be just and appropriate to direct respondent No.2 to look into the grievance of the petitioners and pass an appropriate order on merits in accordance with law. Respondent No.2 would pass necessary order without being influenced by any pleadings made in the petition.

This order shall not debar the official respondents from proceeding against any of the parties, if they are involved in any other case. It would be appreciated if the needful is done within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

August 13, 2021
Jyoti Sharma

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No