

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-31426-2021
Date of decision: 06.08.2021**

Deepa Gill @ Deepa

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Gourav Goel, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

(through video conferencing)

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 72 dated 03.06.2021, registered under Sections 323, 324, 341, 506, 148, 149 and 307 (added later on) of the IPC at Police Station Division No. 04, Ludhiana, District Ludhiana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the FIR was registered at the instance of complainant Jai Dhadri with the allegations that on 31.05.2021, two persons Daman and Deepu were sitting in the street. At that time, Love Gill, son of the present petitioner, came there in the influence of liquor and started abusing them. Thereafter, on 01.06.2021, petitioner Deepa Gill armed with sword and his sons Love Gill armed with sword, Kuch Gill armed with *datar* and Rohan Gill armed with *datar*, along with neighbour Sony Sidhu, who was armed with *datar*, came outside the house of the complainant and started abusing. Petitioner raised *lalkara* to apprehend them and teach a lesson. Thereafter, Love Gill gave a sword blow which hit on the left side of complainant's head. Rohan Gill gave a sword blow which hit on

the left side of complainant's head and all the accused collectively caused injuries to the victim.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is a delay of two days in registration of the FIR and no specific injury is attributed to petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that even a cross version by way of a DDR was also registered against the complainant's side.

Learned State counsel has, however, opposed the prayer on the ground that in the investigation, it has come that petitioner gave a *Kirpan* blow on the head of the victim as he has received as many as three injuries, out of which, one injury was declared dangerous to life and Section 307 IPC was invoked.

Learned State counsel further submits that even the motive is attributed in the FIR that on account of a previous altercation, all the accused, in conspiracy with each other, came to the house of the victim and caused him multiple injuries. It is further submitted that though a cross version was registered by the petitioner's side, however, nobody was found injured.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, considering the serious allegations against the petitioner, I find no ground to grant him the concession of anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

06.08.2021

Waseem Ansari

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable*

*Yes/No
Yes/No*