

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(PROCEEDINGS THROUGH V.C.)

125

**CRWP-7380-2021
Date of Decision : 29.10.2021**

Amritpal Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR VERMA

Present : Mr. Gurpal Singh Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. C.L. Pawar, Sr. DAG, Punjab
for respondents No.1 to 4.

ASHOK KUMAR VERMA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners has filed the present petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner from danger at the hands of respondents No.4 to 6.

Reply by way of affidavit dated 25.10.2021 of Sh. Harvinder Singh Cheema, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Sri Muktsar Sahib, District Sri Muktsar Sahib on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4 has been filed in the Court today through special messenger which is taken on record. Paras 4 and 5 of the said reply reads as under:-

“4. That it is submitted that there is dispute between the parties regarding the inheritance of Harbinderjeet Singh (father of the petitioner and respondent No.6) regarding which a civil suit is pending in the learned civil court between the parties.

5. That it is submitted that at present, neither application is pending against the petitioner in the P.S. Sadar, Sri Muktsar Sahib and nor the petitioner is required by the police of P.S. Sadar Sri Muktsar Sahib in any case. There is no danger to the life and liberty fo the petitioner from the hands of the respondents No.4 to 6 as revealed during the enquiry. False allegations have been levelled against the police. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner with ulterior motives so that the police does not take any action against the petitioner if any application is received from the private respondents No.5 and 6. AS such, no cause of action has accrued to the petitioner to file the present petition. Hence the present petition is liable to be dismissed.”

In view of reply filed by the respondent-State, no action is required to be taken on the present petition which is rendered infructuous.

Disposed of as having become infructuous.

(ASHOK KUMAR VERMA)
JUDGE

29.10.2021
Kothiyal

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No