

**In the High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana
At Chandigarh**

CRM-M-32006-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-12.8.2021

Utkarshh Pahwa ... Petitioner

Versus

Assistant Director (PMLA) ... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. R.S. Cheema, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Ishan Kshetarpal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Satya Pal Jain, Additional Solicitor General of India with
Mr. Sanjay Vashisth, Sr. Panel Counsel for UOI.

(proceedings conducted through video conferencing)

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J.(Oral)

1. Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court does not find any infirmity in the impugned order dated 23.7.2021 (Annexure P-1) passed by learned Special Judge, CBI Court, Chandigarh mainly on the ground that the Trial Court has declined the application while observing that the application is rather vague inasmuch as no specific particulars as regards the country sought to be visited and the purpose of visit were mentioned in the application. The impugned order, as such, is upheld.
2. However, the petitioner would certainly be at liberty to file an application afresh as and when he needs to go abroad by specifically mentioning the

country to be visited; the reasons for visit and the period for which such permission is sought.

3. Since, learned counsel has submitted that the petitioner is required to go abroad by 2.9.2021, it is directed that in case any such application is filed within a week from today, the same shall be considered expeditiously preferably within a week or 10 days from filing of such application.
4. The petition stands disposed off accordingly.

12.8.2021

pankaj

**(Gurvinder Singh Gill)
Judge**

Whether speaking /reasoned Yes / No

Whether Reportable Yes / No