

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

106

CRM-M-31272 of 2021
Date of Decision : 17.09.2021

Vikas Misra @ Vicky

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Randeep S. Dhull, for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, AAG, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is not pressing the present petition on merits but, prays that an appropriate direction be issued to the jail authorities to take care of the health of the petitioner in all possible manner including his diet keeping in view his ill health

Learned State counsel submits that in case, the petitioner submits any application/representation to the said effect, an appropriate order on the same will be passed in accordance with law by the jail authorities and in case, the petitioner is found entitled for any relief, the same will be extended to him.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the undertaking given by the learned State counsel, he does not want to press the present petition any further and the same may be disposed of as

such.

Ordered accordingly.

September 17, 2021
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No