

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

224

CRWP-6862-2020

Date of Decision:16.03.2021

PRANSHU JAIN

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr. Amit Dhawan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Senior DAG, Punjab.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

The Court has been convened through video conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

Instant petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of direction to the official respondents to take legal action against respondent No.5 and his companions for threatening and harassing the petitioner and his family members.

Upon notice being issued to the official respondents, status report by way of affidavit of Assistant Commissioner of Police, Central, Amritsar, has been filed on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4, wherein it has been deposed as under:-

“That it is humbly submitted that keeping in view the totality of the facts and submissions made hereinabove, it is evident that no representation (Annexure P-13 & P-14) has been received from the petitioner. However, an application (Annexure R-3/T) was given against the petitioner Pranashu Jain and his

father Sanjay Jain by the respondent No.5, Sumit Kapoor, which has been enquired into by the deponent and during the course of enquiry, with the intervention of respectable persons, both the parties have entered into compromise by way of written agreement/compromise/settlement deed (Annexure P-4). The petitioner and his father Sanjay Jain have agreed to pay Rs.Seven lacs (7,00,000/-) to the respondent no.5 Sumit Kapoor as a full and final amount towards all kind of outstanding and accounts against the petitioner Pranshu Jain and his father Sanjay Jain. It is pertinent to submit here that the petitioner has not mentioned anything in the compromise or his statement (Annexure P-3 & P-4) with respect to the representations dated 11.08.2020 and 15.08.2020. However, both the parties have resolved their dispute amicably and have entered into mutual compromise with each other and no dispute has left between them and the statement (Annexure R-5/T) of the petitioner Pranshu Jain has also been recorded in this respect. Therefore, the present petition is liable to be dismissed.”

In view of the above development, no further orders are called for.

Petition is disposed of.

16.03.2021

sheetal

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No