

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr.No.210

CRM-M No.32088 of 2021

Date of Decision: 16.08.2021

Sunder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

(Heard through Video-Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

* * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner herein seeks the relief of regular bail in the criminal case pertaining to the FIR bearing No.113 dated 12.03.2019 registered at Police Station SGM Nagar, Faridabad, under Sections 409, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC.

Mr. Apoorv Garg, learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, has joined the proceedings in this case, in pursuance of the notice of this petition having been sent to the respondent-State in advance.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel in this petition and have also perused the file thoroughly.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in custody since

.....

25.12.2019 and the trial of the case will take long time to conclude as only a few witnesses have been examined by the prosecution before the trial Court and therefore, the petitioner deserves the relief as prayed for in this petition.

However, learned State counsel apprises the Court that out of total 28 witnesses as intended to be examined by the prosecution in this case, one witness has been examined till date but he argues that in view of the gravity of the offence as committed by the petitioner, this petition deserves dismissal.

Keeping in view the facts that the petitioner is behind the bars since 25.12.2019 and the trial of the case is likely to take sufficient time to conclude and without commenting or expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petitioner named Sunder Singh is ordered to be released on regular bail subject to his furnishing the requisite bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The instant petition stands allowed accordingly.

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

16.08.2021
neetu

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>No</i>