

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

230

CRM-M-31208-2021

Date of decision : 10.08.2021.

Mustkim

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.. Respondent

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Sachin Dhull, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kirpal Singh Thakur, AAG, Haryana.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.168 dated 21.04.2021, under Sections 379A and 34 IPC registered at Police Station Industrial, Sector 29, District Panipat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that there is a delay of three days in lodging the FIR wherein it is alleged that the mobile phone of the complainant was snatched. The petitioner is not named in the FIR and has been involved in this case merely on suspicion. No recovery has been effected from the petitioner. He also contends that the petitioner is in custody for over 3½ months since his arrest on 21.04.2021 and is not involved in any other case.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Ajmer Singh, states that although challan has been filed but no prosecution witness has been examined.

Heard.

In view of the above especially when the petitioner is not named in the FIR, no recovery has been effected from him, he is in custody for over 3 ½ months, he is not involved in any other case, the Covid-19 pandemic and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

August 10, 2021
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No