

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(118)

CWP No.14783 of 2021
Date of decision: 05.08.2021

Anil Kumar and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Haryana State Agricultural Marketing Board

...Respondent

(Through video conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Ms. Alka Chatrath, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, AAG, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that orders dated 31.05.2021 and 16.06.2021 i.e. Annexures P/8, P/9 and P/10 respectively, by which, the current duty charge given to the petitioners to the post of Sub-Divisional Engineer was withdrawn, have been passed by misinterpreting the judgement of this Court passed in CWP No.2676 of 2016 titled as **Pawan Kumar and others vs. State of Haryana and others** as continuation of the petitioners on current duty charge does not envisage any financial liability in their case.

On being asked, as to whether the petitioners have approached the respondent-Board in this regard, learned counsel for the petitioners

submits that the petitioners are ready to file an appropriate representation with the respondent-Board and the only prayer of the petitioners is that the said representation be decided by the respondent-Board expeditiously.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-Board and submits that in case, any representation is filed by the petitioners raising the grievance as raised by them in the present writ petition, the same will be decided in accordance with law by passing appropriate speaking order expeditiously within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of the said representation.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement made by the learned counsel for the respondent-Board, the petitioners will approach the respondent-Board by filing appropriate representation raising their grievance as raised in the present petition and the present writ petition may be disposed of as having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

It is made clear that respondent will be bound by their statement recorded hereinabove and also that this Court is not rendering any observation, at this stage, regarding the claim of the petitioners in this petition or the claim which the petitioners will raise in their representation, if any filed.

August 05, 2021
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No